



#16  
JMS  
16 4/15/02

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:  
Fallon, et al.

Serial No: 09/715,836

Filed: November 17, 2000

For: Biglycan and Related Therapeutics and  
Methods of Use

Attorney Docket No.: BURF-P01-0

Art Unit: 1646

Examiner: Olga N. Chernyshev

TECH CENTER 1600 E. 700

JUL 11 2002

RECEIVED

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date indicated below:

July 1, 2002

Date of Signature  
and of Mail Deposit

Brent LaBarge

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

In reply to the outstanding Restriction Requirement, mailed June 5, 2002, in connection with the above application, Applicants hereby elect Group I, claims 1-14, drawn to a method for stabilizing dystrophin-associated protein complexes (DAPCs) on the surface of a cell. Applicants elect this invention, with traverse, for the reasons that follow.

First of all, Group I and at least some other groups are quite closely related and share common features that would facilitate searching these groups at once. Indeed, claims of Groups III and V are directed to methods which result from the mechanism set forth in claim 1, i.e., stabilizing DAPCs on the surface of cells. Second, Groups VI-XII each contain only one claim. Accordingly, simultaneous examination of Groups I, III, V-XII, or at least Groups I, III, and V, will not impose a substantial additional burden on the Examiner. Pursuant to MPEP 803, "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even

though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

In addition, Applicants submit that the Examiner's restriction of claims 1-14 and 28-34 into Groups I and III is arbitrary. Claim 1 encompasses subject matter based on a method for stabilizing DAPCs with an effective amount of biglycan. The scope of claim 1 is in fact broader than and encompasses the subject matter of Group III. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claim 1 is a linking claim for Groups I and III. Applicants respectfully remind the Examiner that, in accordance with MPEP 809, "should any linking claim be allowed, the restriction requirement must be withdrawn."

The Examiner may address any questions raised by this submission to the undersigned at 617-951-7000. Applicants hereby request that any fee required for timely consideration of this submission be charged to Deposit Account No. 18-1945.

Date: July 1, 2002

**Customer No: 28120**  
Docketing Specialist  
Ropes & Gray  
One International Place  
Boston, MA 02110  
Phone: 617-951-7000  
Fax: 617-951-7050

Respectfully Submitted,



---

David P. Halstead, Ph.D.  
Reg. No. 44,735